DETERMINATION AND FINDINGS FOR A SOLE SOURCE PROCUREMENT

CONTRACT NO: DCKA-2018-S-0109

CAPTION: Completion of Design Services for the Rehabilitation

of the Theodore Roosevelt Bridge Rehabilitation Project

PROPOSED CONTRACTOR: T.Y. Lin International

PROGRAM AGENCY: District Department of Transportation (DDOT)

AUTHORITY

D.C. Code § 2-354.04, 27 DCMR 1304, 1700 and 1701

FINDINGS

1. MINIMUM NEED:

The Government of the District of Columbia, Department of Transportation ("District") has an immediate need for the Proposed Contractor to complete the design for the above-captioned project. The project is a federal-aid highway project funded in part by the U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, under Title 23 of the U.S. Code. The proposed period of performance is Date of Award through four hundred eighty (480) days.

2. ESTIMATED FAIR AND REASONABLE PRICE:

The estimated fair and reasonable price is \$2,320,097.28.

3. FACTS JUSTIFYING SOLE SOURCE PROCUREMENT:

(a) The Contractor commenced design services for the above-referenced project on July 31, 2014 as the result of a Task Order awarded from the Contractor's Architectural and Engineering Services ("A/E") contract. The Request for Task Order Proposal (RFTOP) required Offerors to submit qualifications covering three phases of the design process for this project: Phase I: Condition Assessment and Inspection; Phase II: Preliminary Engineering Design including deck evaluation and conceptual design of alternatives; and Phase III: Final Design of the preferred alternative including preparation of a Plans, Specifications and Estimate (PS&E) package. TY Lin International was the highest-rated offeror and was selected to perform the work.

Determination and Findings for a Sole Source Procurement DCKA-2018-S-0109

Completion of Design Services for the Rehabilitation Of Theodore Roosevelt Bridge District Department of Transportation

April 18, 2018

Page 2 of 3

- (b) The Contractor successfully completed Phase I design services in May 2015 and Phase II in June 2017. Between the time that the Contractor completed Phase II and the District selected its design alternative, the District engaged in the periodic refresh of the entire A/E Schedule as required by the Brooks Act. Had the refresh of the A/E Schedule not occurred between the completion of Phase II and the commencement of Phase III, the District would have issued a Task Order for Phase III as contemplated by the original RFTOP.
- (c) The Contractor is the only one capable of modifying its own proposed design alternative accepted by the District, so that it can complete Phase III of the project, which is the Final Design of the preferred alternative including preparation of a Plans, Specifications and Estimate (PS&E) package.
- (d) For the above-stated reasons, the District did not conduct a market survey.

CERTIFICATION BY CHIEF ENGINEER

CEIT	
I hereby certify that the above fibelief.	ndings are correct and complete to the best of my knowledge and
Date	Dawit Muluneh, P.E. Chief Engineer, DDOT
CER	TIFICATION BY AGENCY HEAD
I hereby certify that the above fi knowledge and belief.	ndings are true, correct and complete to the best of my
Date	Jeffrey Marootian Director, DDOT
CERTIFIC	CATION BY CONTRACT SPECIALIST
I hereby certify that the above fibelief.	ndings are correct and complete to the best of my knowledge and
Date	Jeralyn Johnson Contract Specialist Office of Contracting & Procurement (OCP)

Determination and Findings for a Sole Source Procurement DCKA-2018-S-0109 Completion of Design Services for the Rehabilitation Of Theodore Roosevelt Bridge District Department of Transportation April 18, 2018 Page 3 of 3

CEDTIFICATION DV CONTDACTING OFFICED AND DECOMMENDATION

CERTIFICATION BY CONTRACTING OFFICER AND RECOMMENDATION	
sole source method of procurement u award a sole source contract was pu Columbia Procurement Practices Re	and certify that they are sufficient to justify the use of the under the cited authority. I certify that the notice of intent to blished in accordance with Section 404(c) of the District of form Act of 2010 (D.C. Official Code § 2-354.04) and that nend that the Chief Procurement Officer approve the use of for this proposed contract.
Date	William E. Sharp, Jr. Chief Contracting Officer, OCP
	DETERMINATION
is not feasible or practical to invoke or 403 of the District of Columbia	ccordance with the cited authority, I hereby determine that it the competitive solicitation process under either Section 402 Procurement Practices Reform Act of 2010 (D.C. Law 18-2 or 2-354.03). Accordingly, I determine that the District is hod of procurement.
 Date	George A. Schutter, III Chief Procurement Officer